

Scheelite Bismuth Molybdate?

In a recent paper by Kumar and Ruckenstein (1) (hereafter, K&R) on thin films in the bismuth molybdate system, the existence of a new scheelite phase was reported. However, I conclude that this scheelite was not a bismuth molybdate but was actually a phase in the $\text{Na}_{0.5-3x}\text{Bi}_{0.5+x}\text{MoO}_4$ system which resulted from reaction with the NaCl substrate.

The general formula for oxides with the scheelite structure is AMoO_4 . The only established large deviations from this ideal stoichiometry are of the type $\text{A}_{1-x}\text{MO}_4$, and such phases are of interest for their catalytic properties (2-6). The only phase in the bismuth molybdate system which is known to be structurally related to scheelite is $\text{Bi}_2(\text{MoO}_4)_3$. This is an $\text{A}_{1-x}\text{MO}_4$ phase with x equal to $\frac{1}{3}$, and the A cation vacancies are ordered. As recognized by K&R, this is not the scheelite phase they obtained in thin films. Neither did they obtain BiMoO_4 . Although $\text{AMo}^{5+}\text{O}_4$ scheelites are known (7), the cell dimensions of $a = 5.26 \text{ \AA}$ and $c = 11.52 \text{ \AA}$ given by K&R are much too small for hypothetical BiMoO_4 .

The scheelite cell dimensions given by K&R are in fact those observed in the $\text{Na}_{0.5-3x}\text{Bi}_{0.5+x}\text{MoO}_4$ system (3). The obvious source of Na would be from the NaCl substrate used by K&R. The reaction between bismuth molybdate and rock salt may have occurred when these intimately contacted phases were heated in air. I find

that NaCl readily reacts with $\text{Bi}_2\text{Mo}_2\text{O}_9$ in the temperature range 250 to 500°C used by K&R. A major product of this reaction is a $\text{Na}_{0.5-3x}\text{Bi}_{0.5+x}\text{MoO}_4$ scheelite.

There is little reason to suppose that the electron diffraction experiments described by K&R will provide information about surface structure which differs from bulk structure. Certainly, the scheelite structure observed by K&R is not the result of the thin film nature of their sample but is rather due to gross contamination by sodium.

REFERENCES

1. Kumar, J., and Ruckenstein, E., *J. Catal.* **45**, 198 (1976).
2. Aykan, K., Halvorson, D., Sleight, A. W., and Rogers, D. B., *J. Catal.* **35**, 401 (1974).
3. Sleight, A. W., Aykan, K., and Rogers, D. B., *J. Solid State Chem.* **13**, 231 (1975).
4. Sleight, A. W., and Linn, W. J., *Proc. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* **272**, 22 (1976).
5. Linn, W. J., and Sleight, A. W., *J. Catal.* **41**, 434 (1976).
6. Sleight, A. W., in "Advanced Materials in Catalysis" (J. J. Burton and R. L. Garten, Eds.) Academic Press, New York, 1977.
7. Banks E., and Nemiroff, M., *Inorg. Chem.* **13**, 2715 (1974).

ARTHUR W. SLEIGHT

Central Research and Development Department
E. I. du Pont de Nemours & Company
Experimental Station
Wilmington, Delaware 19898

Received February 18, 1977